

Comment Sets C.156 through C.359, Form letter submitted by the following persons:

C.156	Christine and Jedidiah Abbott	C.197	Tom Curran
C.157	Tim and Sally Adams	C.198	Kristi Day
C.158	Jessica Addington	C.199	Roger Day
C.159	Cheryle Anaya	C.200	Kevin Denkers
C.160	Alma R. Anderson	C.201	Kevin and Melany Denkers
C.161	Nancy Ansel	C.202	Nicole DeVries
C.162	Jennifer Athans	C.203	Nancy Dibble
C.163	Seema Bagai	C.204	Rusty Dowling
C.164	Lynn Ball	C.205	Hortendia (Dustie) Dwyer
C.165	Cindy Baughman	C.206	Melissa Eckstine
C.166	Molli Beightol	C.207	Tammy Elliott
C.167	Cynthia L. Bock	C.208	Adam Endress
C.168	Jack D. Bock	C.209	Chad Espinoza
C.169	Don Bombardier	C.210	Kevin and Kym Falsetti
C.170	Irene and John Bowers	C.211	Larry Fawcett
C.171	Louis Bouwer	C.212	Dinah Ferrer
C.172	Amy Brant	C.213	Rosemarie Ferrer
C.173	Jason Brant	C.214	Rosie Ferrer
C.174	Jill Brown	C.215	Leah Fleischmann
C.175	Rebecca Bub	C.216	Marc Fleischmann
C.176	Doug and Nicole Burns	C.217	Deanna Fragnoli
C.177	Rosalee M. Carlson	C.218	James M. Fragnoli
C.178	Colleen Carr	C.219	Alicia Fraley
C.179	George and Colleen Carr	C.220	Carol Garman
C.180	Denise Castro	C.221	Michael T. Gaughan
C.181	Crystal Chavers	C.222	Iris Gay
C.182	David Chavers	C.223	Sandra D. Gaughan
C.183	Elvia Chavez	C.224	Joann Gitmed
C.184	Amanda Clemons	C.225	John Glass
C.185	Nodya S. Clemons	C.226	Nicole Goetz
C.186	Gary and Diana Clinton	C.227	Darlene I. Gold
C.187	Kimberly Collier-Endress	C.228	Sean and Christy Gomez
C.188	Lindsey Courtney	C.229	Daniel and Evelyn Gomez
C.189	Denise Covington	C.230	Jennifer and Kyle Gomez
C.190	Katie Covington	C.231	Cyndi Gonzales
C.191	Jennifer Cote	C.232	Dick Gonzales
C.192	Marc and Monica Crockett	C.233	Jennifer Gonzales
C.193	Chelsea Curran	C.234	Kaylin Gonzales
C.194	Danielle Curran	C.235	Janis and Joseph Goodin
C.195	Donna Curran	C.236	Dawn Goodman
C.196	Holly Curran	C.237	Barbara Gray

C.238	Douglas C. Gray	C.282	Bryan Murphy
C.239	Dan Hagopian	C.283	Bob and Debbie Murray
C.240	Shannon Hagopian	C.284	Kay Murray
C.241	Phil Harrison	C.285	Robert Murray
C.242	Henderson Family	C.286	Robbie Myers
C.243	Lisa Hernandez	C.287	Jean Massereddin
C.244	John Hoover	C.288	Yolanda Nevarez
C.245	Melissa Horton	C.289	Michelle Nichiporuk
C.246	Lisa Hunter	C.290	Carol Noble
C.247	Carol A. Ingram	C.291	Matt and Nicole Noreen
C.248	Jennifer Interiano	C.292	Marlina Nudo
C.249	Vincent Jones	C.293	John and Joy Muttman
C.250	David and Julie Kidder	C.294	Weston O'Brien
C.251	Patti Koyro	C.295	Charlotte Odette
C.252	Lori Kyle	C.296	Donna Ostronic
C.253	John Landherr	C.297	Robert and Marla Osgood
C.254	Mariah Langford	C.298	Lisa Page
C.255	Justin Leewood	C.299	Robb Page
C.256	Peter Lin	C.300	Frank and Kim Palumbo
C.257	Gary Lindsley	C.301	Peggy M. Pearson
C.258	James and Ardyce Lindsley	C.302	Steve and Heidi Pendleton
C.259	Nicole Lindsley	C.303	Jose F. Perez
C.260	Shelli Lindsley	C.304	Dorothy Poverelli
C.261	Lisa Lopez	C.305	Linda Prewett
C.262	Toni Lopez	C.306	Steve Reed
C.263	Holly Madewell	C.307	Jerome and Michelle Reidman
C.264	Rafael and Tracie Manriquez	C.308	Jane L. Rich
C.265	Lisa Martin	C.309	Cassandra Rivard
C.266	Jean Martin	C.310	Vanessa Roberts
C.267	Marisa Martin	C.311	Dorsie Rouse
C.268	Rick Martin	C.312	Phil and Erin Ruhl
C.269	Phillip Mata	C.313	Dennis Salvatier
C.270	Noel and Linda Mayfield	C.314	Sandee Sanderson
C.271	Mike and Nichole Medaris	C.315	Robert and Shelly Schofield
C.272	Kayli Melendez	C.316	Holly Scoltock
C.273	Francine D. Mellard	C.317	Cindy Seefoo
C.274	Steve Mellard	C.318	Joseph Servia
C.275	Lance Miller	C.319	Pam Sheehan
C.276	Teresa Miller	C.320	Elizabeth Shetler
C.277	Thomas M. Mark	C.321	Jeffery C. Short
C.278	Connie Moreno	C.322	Pat Simons
C.279	David Mullins	C.323	Marcia P. Smith
C.280	Kathaleen Mullins	C.324	Scott and Angela Stevenson
C.281	Alison Murphy	C.325	Patricia and Charles Suppe

C.326	Andrew, Christine, Julianne and Joseph Teater	C.343	Jim and Maria Walski
C.327	Dave Ten Berge	C.344	Bryan and Andrea Ward
C.328	Stephanie Ten Berge	C.345	Dolores Weber
C.329	Kelli Tencate	C.346	Mike Whipple
C.330	Jerry and Renee Tieszen	C.347	Leah Whipple
C.331	Lisa Trzcinski	C.348	Penny Whittier
C.332	Todd and Blanca Turner	C.349	Jerry Wimberly
C.333	Andrew Turpen	C.350	Peggy Wimberly
C.334	Matt and Heather Ulrich	C.351	Bryce Winton
C.335	Chris van Straten	C.352	Lisa Winton
C.336	David, Tina and Amanda Viel	C.353	Rick Winton
C.337	Diane and Kenny Villegas	C.354	Denise Wyrick
C.338	Rosalie Vitali	C.355	Joe Yersky
C.339	David Vizzini	C.356	Ron and Danelle Young
C.340	Gloria Vizzini	C.357	Lisa Zangenberg
C.341	Rebecca Wagner	C.358	Cynthia Zuroff
C.342	Rober J. Wallin	C.359	Jonathan Zuroff

Dear Commission Members,

This letter is written in protest to the proposed route of the Tehachapi Renewable Transmission Project through the cities of Chino and Chino Hills. I/We are regularly attending members of Inland Hills Church and we oppose the 500 Kv power lines planned to run through this church campus. Inland Hills Church is a growing and vital part of our community and the presence of the large towers and the 500 Kv lines would definitely impact our church's ability to attract new membership and to grow.

We share in the church's desire for SCE to find a better route for these transmission lines, a route that would not impact the lives of the people in the community and the campus of Inland Hills Church. Our church campus is a warm and welcoming place and we believe that the large tower and the presence of these lines would hinder that environment for us all. Most importantly, we do not want the risk of such high voltage lines over our families and children while we are on campus.

We currently experience busy weekend services and the parking on campus can be difficult. We understand that should these lines be erected, Inland Hills Church would be required to shut down one of its parking areas, decreasing the total number of available parking spaces on our campus. This is unacceptable to us and we would hate to see our church lose any part of the property that we have worked hard to build, especially valuable parking spaces.

It is unimaginable that SCE would attempt to impact the lives of so many people in our community with this proposed route. We think the City of Chino Hills has proposed a viable alternative route, one that we and our Church whole heartedly support. Please do not allow SCE to build the lines along their proposed route and force them to re-route the lines away from our communities and Inland Hills Church. Thank you for hearing our concerns.

Sincerely,

Response to Comment Sets C.156 through C.359:

- C.156-1 Thank you for expressing your opinion. Your comments will be shared with federal decision-makers who are reviewing the Project. Potential disturbance associated with the construction of the proposed Project is discussed throughout the Draft EIR/EIS and the adverse visual impacts of the Project are discussed in detail in Draft EIR/EIS Section 3.14.
- C.156-2 Thank you for your comment. Effects on the quality of life are discussed in Section 3.12 of the Draft EIR/EIS and can be taken into consideration by federal decision-makers who are reviewing the Project. In addition, the Draft EIR/EIS addresses several topics relevant to land use, including impacts related to visual resources, noise, traffic, land use, recreation, public services, electric and magnetic fields, property values, and air quality.
- C.156-3 Under SCE's Easement Policy (Rev. 1, July 7, 2008), it is stated that "Buildings and other permanent structures, both above ground and underground are prohibited within SCE's ROW's. Examples of permanent structures are pipelines, concrete slabs (i.e., parking lots), foundations, vaults, decks, detention basins, pools, and anything else that is not portable and easily moveable." In SCE's Secondary Land Use Policy, it states that SCE "will permit secondary uses of its transmission rights-of-way only when these secondary land uses do not conflict with current or projected first priority use, as determined by the company's Transmission and Distribution Business Unit (TDBU). Such uses will be low intensity in nature....Other possible low-intensity projects include short-term or overflow parking lots or equestrian stables. Since these are not the preferred uses, SCE will not actively pursue these uses but will consider them on a case-by-case basis." Previously existing land uses, such as parking lots, that may conflict with SCE's Secondary Land Use Policy and Transmission Line Right of Way Requirements will be reviewed by SCE on a case-by-case basis. It should be noted that SCE is currently working towards a system-wide policy regarding land uses under 500-kV transmission lines; however, this policy is not yet in place. Thank you for expressing your opinion. Your comments will be shared with the federal decision-makers who are reviewing the Project.
- C.156-4 Thank you for your comment supporting the alternative proposed by the City of Chino Hills. The CPUC, Forest Service, SCE, City of Chino Hills, and California Department of Parks and Recreation have worked together during the Draft EIR/EIS process to identify issues and alternatives, and to analyze the impacts of alternatives. The CPUC has considered the proposed Project. However, no decisions have been made by the Forest Service to approve any alternative and no recommendations have been made in the Draft EIR/EIS for approval of any alternative.